C/CAG

City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

VTA

Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority

TA

San Mateo County Transportation Authority

2020 Peninsula Gateway Corridor Study Policy Advisory Committee

DATE:

Wednesday, February 9, 2005

TIME:

4:00 P.M

PLACE:

Menlo Park City Hall

1st Floor Council Conference Room

701 Laurel Street Menlo Park, CA

- 1.0 Introductions
- 2.0 Review and discussion of Draft List of Improvement Alternatives (complete universe of projects), and projects for study under the contract with Kimley-Horn.
- 3.0 Schedule next meeting for March 9, 2005.
- 4.0 Adjourn.

C/CAG

City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

VTA

Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority

TA

San Mateo County Transportation Authority

2020 Peninsula Gateway Corridor Study Policy Advisory Committee

Date:

February 9, 2005

To:

2020 PAC Members

From:

Walter Martone

Subject:

DRAFT LIST OF IMPROVEMENT ALTERNATIVES

The purpose of the meeting on February 9th will be to review the list of potential improvement alternatives that was developed by Kimley-Horn (the consultants for this project) and decide:

- Does the list contain the complete universe of projects for this Study?
- Which projects should be analyzed further as part of the 2020 Study, as part of work being done by Caltrans, as an independent study, as part of the next steps in this project?
 Which projects have already been studied by other agencies, and therefore this information is already available?

One of the most important goals of the 2020 Study is to compile a complete universe of projects that have the potential for addressing the severe congestion, community disruption, economic, and environmental problems in the study area. A number of community meetings plus the added expertise of Kimley-Horn, resulted in over 300 ideas for potential projects being identified. These ideas were subsequently formulated into 70 improvement projects that could be analyzed to determine the impacts in the study area. Funding under this Study will be sufficient for 8 to 10 packages of improvements to be studied in sufficient detail to provide the information contained in the matrix identified in #2 on the next page (and attached), under the current contract with Kimley-Horn.

This detailed information on a limited number of alternatives may not be sufficient to prepare

policy makers to select a package of improvements for implementation. Therefore we anticipate that a number of additional studies will also be conducted to supplement the information produced under the current study. There will also be information from other sources that can be used to augment this comprehensive review of the study area. These include existing studies conducted by the VTA, the SMCTA, the San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority, and future studies that will be conducted by Caltrans. The additional studies will likely be commissioned after the existing information is reviewed and the analysis of the 8 to 10 Packages of Alternatives is completed by Kimley-Horn. Therefore staff is recommending that the 70 improvement ideas be organized into categories that will determine how and when the analysis will be conducted. The categories include:

- 2020 = 11 alternatives are recommended to be included in this category to be analyzed as part of the contract with Kimley-Horn. Kimley-Horn has taken these alternatives plus the 17 to be studied by Caltrans, and combined them into 9 Packages of Alternatives that can be studied. The Alternative Package numbers are noted under 2020 and Caltrans.
- Caltrans = 17 alternatives are recommended to be included in this category to be analyzed by Caltrans. These alternatives have been grouped into Alternative Packages 4 through 7.
- Existing = 5 alternatives have already been studied by other agencies. Copies of these reports will be secured and reviewed.
- Next steps = 37 alternatives will be considered for additional study in the next phase of the project after the work currently contracted to Kimley-Horn has been completed.
- Independent study = At this time no projects have been identified for an independent study. It is likely that a few of the very large projects (i.e. building elevated lanes on Route 101, bored tunnel under East Palo Alto, etc.) could require a special review because of the complexity and enormity of the project.

These categories have been used in #3 below and #4 on the next page. Both of these items are attachments to this cover memo.

In order to assist you with this review, the following items are being provided:

- 1. A flow chart that shows at this point in the study process we are.
- 2. As requested, a chart that lists all of the factors that will be used to present the Packages of Alternatives that are selected to be studied further as part of the 2020 Study.
- 3. A chart that lists all of the improvement options that been identified through the public input process and a review of the study area by the consultants. This chart lists the alternatives identified with letter codes so that there is no priority order implied. The "Relative Cost Meter" is a very rough indication of the price range for this type of project. Kimley-Horn will provide information on the range of costs for each of the projects at the meeting on February 9th.

The "Implementation Horizon" is a rough indication of how long it might take to implement the project.

a. completed in less than 5 years (short)


- b. 6 to 10 years (medium)
- c. over 10 years (long).

"To be studied as part of" represents the recommendations for the categories under which the alternative will be studied. The alternatives that are recommended to be studied by Kimley-Horn under the current contract or by Caltrans have been shaded for easy reference.

The last column provides the rationale for the recommended study category. This was based on the technical knowledge of the TAC that these particular projects may have less data available from other sources and additional data is needed to evaluate the project's merits or problems. The determination as to whether a project is studied under the contract with Kimley-Horn, Caltrans, or in another category, does not give a priority to the project. Any prioritization will be done as part of the next steps process and not as part of this study.

- 4. A map that shows the zones in the C/CAG Travel Forecasting Model that correspond to the study area will be provided at the February 9th meeting.
- 5. Kimley-Horn will also be presenting at the February 9th meeting, some of the travel pattern information that was requested.

Please review the information on the enclosed materials and note any major issues or concerns that we should discuss at the meeting on February 9th. Due to the amount of information that needs to be reviewed, it is recommended that the Committee focus its attention first on the improvements that have been shaded. We may need additional meetings in order to get through the entire list.



PRESENTATION OF ALTERNATIVES

| Review Measures | Alternative 1 | Alternative 2 | Alternative 3 | Alternative 4 | Alternative 5 | Alternative 6 |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------|
| | Description | Description | Description | Description | Description | Description |
| | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | | |
| *Cost | | | | | | |
| *Right of Way | | ! | | | | |
| *Displacement/Relocation | 1 | | | | | |
| *Utilities | | 1 | | | | ĺ |
| *Non-Standard Features | | | | | | |
| *Complexity with respect | | | - " | | | |
| To traffic management | | | | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Traffic Operations | | | | | | |
| *System Performance | | | | | | |
| - Average speed | | | | | | |
| - Average delay | | | | | | |
| - Route travel times | | | | | | |
| - Duration of congestion | | | | | | ! |
| - Vehicle hours of delay | | 1 | | | | |
| - Vehicle miles traveled | | | | 1 | | |
| - Emissions | | | | | 1 | |
| *Spot Performance | | | | | | |
| - Level of service | | i | | | | |
| Average control delay | | | 1 | | | |
| - Commute traffic on | | | · · | | | |
| local residential streets | | | | | | |
| - Queues (length, | | | | | • | |
| number blocking) | | | | | į | |
| - Travel time | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| - Total stops | | | | † · · · · · | | |
| • | | | 1 | | | |
| Environmental | | | | | | |
| * Land use | | | | | | |
| * Geology | | | | | | |
| * Hydrology | | | | | | |
| * Biology | | | | | | |
| * Cultural | | | | | | |
| * Hazardous materials | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| * Noise | | | | | | 1 |
| * Visual | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 7 15661 | + | † | - | | | |
| Other | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | |
| * Equity (environmental | 1 | 1 | | - | | |
| justice) | | į | 1 | | | |
| * Neighborhood comfort/ | | <u> </u> | 1 | + | <u> </u> | |
| feel | } | | | | | |
| * Creation of barriers | <u> </u> | - | 1 | + | | |
| within/between | 1 | | | | | |
| communities | 1 | | | | | |
| * Influence on non- | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | - | 1 | + | | |
| vehicular travel | | | | | | |

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENT ALTERNATIVES TO ANALYZE IN 2020 STUDY

| ID Code | Alternative | Relative Cost Meter | Implemen- tation Horizon | To be studied as part of | Justification |
|------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| | H | ighway 101 | | | |
| A | Auxiliary Lanes from Embarcadero to Shoreline Include flood control enhancements at creek crossings | Medium | Lang | <u>2020</u> Alt I | Strong potential for congestion relief on Rt. 101 |
| В | Reconstruct Embarcadero/ Oregon interchange | High | Long | Next steps | |
| C | Reconstruct San Antonio interchange and eliminate southbound on ramp at Charleston | Medium | Long | <u>2020</u> Alt l | This project lies in and supports the VFA's Rt. 85/101 project |
| D1 | Widen freeway to 10 lanes (County Line to Shoreline) | Very high | Long | Next steps | Right-of-way makes this difficult |
| D2 | Widen freeway to 10 lanes + Aux Lanes (County Line to Shoreline) | Very high | Long | Next steps | Right-of-way makes this difficult |
| E | Widen freeway to 10 lanes + Aux Lanes (Whipple to County Line) | Very high | Long | Existing study | Right-of-way makes this difficult |
| | Build elevated lanes above 101 from Woodside Road to 85/101 North project conform Consider mixed-flow lanes or HOWHOT lanes NOTE similar project profiled in Civil Engineering in June 2004 | Very high | Long | 2020 Alt 2 | Only feasible way to significantly increase Rt 101 capacity |
| G | Improve local access across 101 | Low to Medium High | Long | Next steps | Limited potential for congestion relief in corridor and major right of way issues |
| | Dumbarton | Bridge to H | lighway 101 | | |
| | Grade separations at Bayfront/Villow and Bayfront/University | Medium High | Long | <u>2020</u> Alt 3 | Potential for significant improvement to the operation of Bayfront Expressway |

| Ï | Extend Bayfront Expressway to Woodside Road | High | Long | Existing study | SMTA has already studied |
|---|---|----------------|------|-------------------------|---|
| J | Construct direct flyover connection between Bayfront/ Marsh and 101 north of Marsh | Medium High | Long | Next steps | this option. Significant right of way issues and does not appear to significantly improve the current condition |
| K | Elevated Direct Connections between Bayfront and 101 along Willow Road comdor • SEE improvement code CC | Very high | Long | Caltrans Included in CC | Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| L | Elevated roadway along Dumbarton RR corridor between University and 101 | Very high | Long | Next steps | |
| М | New 101 South connection through East Palo Alto (Expressway south of University) | Very high | Long | Next steps | Major disruption to an existing community. Right of way would require the taking of a significant number of homes and businesses |
| N | New 101 South connection skirting East Palo Alto (Expressway/viaduct along edge of bay) • Direct connections at Bayfront Expressway (east of University) and Highway 101 (near Embarcadero/Oregon interchange) • Bridges over Hetch-Hetchy pipelines and Dumbarton RR • Skirt Ravenswood Open Space Preserve, Baylands, and Palo Alto Golf Course • 2- 4 lane viaduct, with piers designed to limit environmental impacts | Very high | Long | Existing Study | Potentially severe environmental impacts. Community consensus is highly unlikely |

| | Consider HOV-only or HOT-only usage | | | | |
|----|--|-------------|-------|--------------------------|---|
| 0 | Tunnel beneath East Palo Alto University Avenue to Highway 101(near Embarcadero/Oregon interchange) Beneath Ravenswood Industrial Area and residential neighborhoods south of University | Very high | Long | Next steps | Potential for major disruptions in the community |
| P | San Francisquito Creek Diversion Structure and Roadway (dual use tunnel facility) | High | Long | Existing study | Prior study of flood control options for San Francisquito Creek will be reviewed. |
| P1 | Route 101 flood control project potentially down Willow Road. | | Long | Existing study | To prevent flooding on Route 101. Considered as part of auxiliary lane project (see number 1). Review study already done by the San Francisquito Creek JPA. |
| | | Villow Road | | | |
| | Signal Timing during peak travel periods • Consider adaptive or responsive operation • Install vehicle detection | Very Low | Short | <u>Caltrans</u> Alt 4 | Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow Cattrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| R | Prohibit left turns during peak travel periods | Very Low | Short | <u>Caltrans</u> Alt:4 | Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to |

| | | | | | the project |
|-----|--|----------|--------|--------------------------|---|
| S | Prohibit local cross traffic during peak travel periods | Very Low | Short | Caltrans Alt 4 | Potential for significant improvement in treffic flow. Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to |
| *** | Exit/Entrance Right Turn pockets on Willow | Very Low | Medium | Caltrans Alt 4 | the project Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| | Set back curb line one lane width from traveled way at driveways | Low | Medium | <u>Caltrans</u> Alt 4 | Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow: Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| V | Eliminate driveway access on Willow | Low | Short | Next steps | Limited potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| W | Eliminate selected signalized intersections: • Newbridge St • Ivy Dr • Hamilton Ave | Low | Short | Next steps | Limited potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |

| X | Eliminate signalized intersections and allow right turns only on/off Willow | Low | Short | Next steps | Limited potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. |
|----------|--|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| <u> </u> | | | | | Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| Y | Eliminate signalized intersections and prohibit any access from local streets | Low | Short | Next steps | Limited potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. Caltrans is |
| | | | N andhum | Nave | willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| Z | Widen Willow one lane each direction | High | Medium | Next steps | Potential significant right of way issues. |
| | | | | | Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| AA | Grade separations at selected intersections: Newbridge St Ivy Dr Hamilton Ave | Very High | Long | Next steps | May not be feasible given proximity and clearance issues. |
| | | | | | Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| 88 | Pedestrian over crossing at Ivy Dr (near Mid-Peninsula High School) | Medium | Medium | Caltrans Alt 5 | Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow during school start and end limes. |
| | | | | | Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to |

| | | | | | the project |
|-----|---|------------|---------|-------------------|--|
| | | | | | - |
| CC1 | Elevated viaduct expressway structure • 2 lanes in each direction | Very high | Long | Caltrens Ali 6 | Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. |
| | | | | | Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| CC2 | Elevated viaduct expressway structure 1 lane in each direction | Very high | Long | Galtrans Alt 6 | Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. |
| 660 | | Vicinities | Long | Callrans | willing to study this option at no added cost to the project Potential for |
| CC3 | Elevated viaduct expressway structure Reversible 2 lanes | Very high | Long | Alt 6 | significant Improvement in traffic flow: Caltrans is |
| | | | 2000000 | | willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| CC4 | Elevated viaduct expressway structure 3 laties with reversible middle lane | Very high | Long | Caltrans Air 6 | Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. |
| : | | | phones: | | Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| DD1 | Depressed expressway 2 lanes each direction | Very high | Lang | Caltrans Alt 2 | Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. |
| | | | | | Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |

| DD2 | Pepresed expressway • 1 lane each direction | Very high | Long | <u>Caltrans</u> Alt 7 | Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
|-----|--|-----------|------|--------------------------|---|
| DD3 | Depressed expressway Reversible 2 lanes | Very high | Long | Caltrans Alt 7 | Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| 004 | Depressed expressway 3 lanes with reversible middle tane | Very high | Long | Caltrans Alt 7 | Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| EE | Grade separations at all intersections (over crossings or under crossings) | Very high | Long | Next Steps | May not be feasible given proximity and clearance issues. Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
| | Tunnel Expressway (maintain existing facility at grade) | Very high | Long | Caltrans Alt 7 | Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow: Celtrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |

| SG | Modified depressed Expressway (surface frontage roads captilevered inboard to minimize frontage impacts) | Very high | Long | Celtrans Alt:7 | Potential for significant improvement in traffic flow. Caltrans is willing to study this option at no added cost to the project |
|-----|--|--------------|--------|---------------------|--|
| | Univ | rersity Aven | lue | | |
| 88 | Signal Timing during peak travel periods • Consider adaptive or responsive operation • Install vehicle detection | Very low | Short | <u>2020</u> Au 8 | Potentiat for significant improvement in traffic flow. |
| ļi | Prohibit left turns during peak travel periods | Very low | Short | Next steps | Creates a barrier dividing a downtown and disrupting commerce during commute periods |
| JJ | Prohibit local cross traffic during peak travel periods | Very low | Short | Next steps | Creates a barrier dividing a downtown and disrupting commerce during commute periods |
| KK | Entrance/Exit Right Turn pockets on University | Low | Short | Next steps | Refer idea to City of EPA for further consideration. |
| LL. | Set back curb line one lane width from traveled way at driveways | Low | Medium | Next steps | Right of way restrictions would likely have a major impact on businesses in the corridor |
| ММ | Eliminate driveway access on University | Medium | Short | Next steps | Limiting access to retain businesses would disrupt commerce in the City |

| NN OO | Eliminate selected signalized intersections: Bell Runnymeade Kavanaugh | Low | Short | Next steps | Creates a barrier dividing a downtown and disrupting commerce during commute periods Creates a |
|-------|---|-----------|-------|---------------|--|
| | and allow right turns only on/off University | | | steps | barrier dividing a downtown and disrupting commerce during commute periods |
| PP | Eliminate signalized intersections and prohibit any access from local streets | Low | Short | Next steps | Creates a barrier dividing a downtown and disrupting commerce during commute periods |
| QQ | Widen University one lane each direction | High | High | Next steps | Right of way restrictions would likely have a major impact on businesses in the corridor |
| ŔŔ | Grade separations at selected intersections: Donohoe Bay | High | Long | Next steps | |
| SS1 | Elevated expressway/viaduct along University corridor • 2 lanes each direction | Very high | Long | Next steps | Elevated structure would divide a downtown area |
| S\$2 | Elevated viaduct expressway structure • 1 lane in each direction | Very high | Long | Next steps | Elevated structure would divide a downtown area |
| SS3 | Elevated viaduct expressway structure Reversible 2 lanes | Very high | Long | Next steps | Elevated structure would divide a downtown area |
| SS4 | Elevated viaduct expressway structure • 3 lanes with reversible middle lane | Very high | Long | Next steps | Elevated structure would divide a downtown area |

| | Depressed expressway: • 2 lanes each direction | Very High | Eong | 2020 Alie9 | Potential for substantial improvement in through traffic without impeding movement in the downtown area. |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------|------|---------------|--|
| 1372 | Depressed expressway * 1 lane each direction | Very high | Long | 2020 Alt 9 | Potential for substantial improvement in through traffic without impeding movement in the downtown area |
| 1983 | Depressed expressway: * Reversible 2 lanes | Very high | Long | 2020 Ali 9 | Potential for substantial improvement in through traffic without impeding movement in the downtown area |
| 114 | Depressed expressway 3 lanes with reversible middle lane | Very high | Long | 2020 Alt 9 | Potential for substantial improvement in through haffic without impeding movement in the downtown area |
| UU | Grade separations at all intersections (over crossings or under crossings) | Very high | Long | Next steps | Elevated structures and limited turning movements would divide a downtown area |
| • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | Tunnel Expressway, (maintain existing facility at grade) | Very high | Long | Next steps | Tunnel option for Willow would likely provide the same benefit but at reduced cost due to shorter distance |

| WW | Modified depressed Expressivay (surface frontage roads cantilevered inboard to minimize frontage impacts) | Very high | Eong | <u>2020</u> Ali 9 | Potential for substantial improvement in through traffic without impeding movement in the downtown area |
|-----|--|------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---|
| C | complementary ITS Elements (to be | included i | n project defi | nitions as a | ppropriate |
| XX | Install traffic signal interconnect/ Communications infrastructure between Middlefield Road and 101 | | Short | Next Steps | Consider further study as part of ITS plan |
| YY | Install transit signal priority to support high-patronage bus routes. | | Short | Next Steps | Consider further study as part of ITS plan |
| ZZ | Install trailblazers and/or arterial CMS to provide route guidance information | | Short | Next Steps | Consider further study as part of ITS plan |
| AAA | Prepare Incident Management and Traveler Information Plan for Corridor | | Short | Next Steps | Consider joint ITS project with VTA. |
| | Other Potential Improve | ments Note | ed by Public a | and Others | |
| BBB | Study the possible designation of East Bayshore (San Antonio to University) as a reliever route to provide congestion relief and for incident management on Route 101 Improve operations at | Low | Short | Next Steps | Potential for diversion of traffic during incidents on 101. Potential as an alternate route |
| | intersections Install directional signage to help keep commuters off residential streets | | | | for short trips |
| ccc | Improve 101/ University interchange Construct southbound direct- connect off-ramp Improve on-off connections for northbound traffic | Medium | Long | 2020 Includé with Alt I | Southbound off ramp is a planned "phase 2" feature. |
| DDD | Define residential traffic management elements that complement high priority capital improvements | Low | Short | Next Steps | These are low cost improve- ments to local streets that complement improvements on the higher volume roads |